Strategy Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem
Webinar Session 2 – Wednesday, 15 January 2014
Chat Transcript

Alice Jansen: (1/15/2014 12:31) Welcome to the Strategy Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem Webinar - Session 2! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior:http://www.icann.org/en/news/in-focus/accountability/expected-standards

lalla amin: (12:39) Hello Here I am on behalf of the Arab Center for Dispute Resolution

Pindar Wong (HK): (12:44) Greetings - This is Pindar joining from Hong Kong.

Alice Jansen: (12:44) Hi Lalla, the session will begin at 12:00 UTC. Thanks for joining!

lalla amin: (12:46) Nice to see you everyone

Jahangir Hossain: (12:46) This is jahangir from Bangladesh

Wilfried Woeber: (12:46) good $daytime, hello to all. joining in as observer from the ASO

vinton cerf: (12:48) HI Wilfried - good to see you on the bridge/chat

48291 2: (12:48) Hi, this is Pär Brumark, Niue

vinton cerf: (12:49) i guess we should not all try to greet each other n-squared messages!!!

Pascal Bekono: (12:51) greetings from Cameroon :)

Liz Orembo: (12:58) Hi all! Joining from ISOC Kenya

Carlton Samuels: (12:59) Howdy all

Philip Sheppard BRG: (12:59) Hello from Brussels where we await a certain Commission paper on IG with interest

Peter Green - CONAC: (12:59) Hi, greetings from Beijing, China

Alice Jansen: (13:00) we will begin shortly.

Chris Dillon: (13:00) Hello! This is Chris Dillon / UCL. Greetings from a grey London.

Steve Crocker: (13:00) Greetings!

Alejandro Pisanty: (13:01) Cheers everybody

Páll Halldórsson: (13:01) Hello all, Greetings from Iceland

Michael Flemming: (13:01) Greetings from Japan

Yaovi Atohoun: (13:01) Hello!

vinton cerf: (13:01) we will delay start a bit to see if more are going to join the adobe connect bridge

Pindar Wong (HK): (13:01) Hello Hotta-san

vinton cerf: (13:02) we had reservations for about 55 or so

Hiro Hotta: (13:02) Hi, Pindar

Sam Lanfranco: (13:02) Good Morning

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:02) Good Evening

Peter Green - CONAC: (13:02) Good Evening

Rahul Sharma: (13:03) Hi All... Good Evening from India

vinton cerf: (13:03) Starting now

Bill Drake: (13:05) Greetings all

Marília Maciel: (13:05) Greetings everyone

Peter Knight: (13:08) Greetings from Rio de Janeiro

Deolindo Costa: (13:11) Greetings everyone from Sao tome and Principe

Peter Knight: (13:17) Boa tarde, Deolindo

Bill Drake: (13:19) I prefer IP on everything

Jahangir Hossain: (13:19) @bill 1+

vinton cerf: (13:19) LOL!

Bill Drake: (13:20) are you getting shy with age, Vint? I show my students a picture of you with that T Shirt, they love it.

Wilfried Woeber: (13:20) april 1st RFC: IP over avian^Whuman carriers?

Deolindo Costa: (13:20) Boa tarde Peter

vinton cerf: (13:20) i still like that picture too!

lalla amin: (13:21) the internetional aspect is very dynamic

Marília Maciel: (13:23) I would like to hear more about pannel members' assessment of the political role this panel can play in upcoming months and if (and how) it can act as a broker on discussions. Hope that is still coming up

Rahul Sharma: (13:23) @Vinton Cerf: Honoured to be part of this and listening to you

Jahangir Hossain: (13:23) @Vint , we need your inspiring speech for newcomer in this internet echosystems

Rahul Sharma: (13:24) Will you be in Singapore in March?

Marília Maciel: (13:25) Please speaker slow down a little bit for non-native English speakers? :)

Marília Maciel: (13:25) Thanks, @Vint! :)

vinton cerf: (13:26) you are welcome

Peter Knight: (13:27) Good to be with you again, Vint, if only virtually!

vinton cerf: (13:27) :-)

Peter Knight: (13:27) I really like the diagram on this slide.

Peter Knight: (13:28) The labels, however, are hard to read, even on a big monitor. I tried the pdf. Same problem. Could a PPT version be circulated, or larger tuype?

vinton cerf: (13:29) marilia we will discuss at Q&A

Steve DelBianco: (13:29) that diagram should also show National Governments in the content layer

Marília Maciel: (13:29) Perfect, thanks

vinton cerf: (13:29) yes steve good point

Rahul Sharma: (13:29) @steve ;)

Marília Maciel: (13:30) Yes, thanks

P J Narayanan: (13:30) Yes

vinton cerf: (13:30) can others confirm you are hearing alx

Rahul Sharma: (13:30) yes

Pindar Wong (HK): (13:31) Sorry for speaking too quickly p.

Peter Knight: (13:31) Vint, is my good friend Ismail Serageldin participating actively in the work of the panel?

Michael Flemming: (13:31) hearing him but sounds rather rough

Michael Flemming: (13:31) kind of muffled

Marília Maciel: (13:31) No problem, Pindar :) Thanks for slowing

Peter Knight: (13:31) Agree on muffled. Vint is much clearer.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:31) echo

Rahul Sharma: (13:31) echo

Evers - Py: (13:31) echo

Wilfried Woeber: (13:31) packet drop :-(

vinton cerf: (13:32) still echo

Rahul Sharma: (13:32) echo

Marília Maciel: (13:32) Yes, still

Evers - Py: (13:32) echo

Pindar Wong (HK): (13:32) I hear echo on Alex's line

Patrick Ryan: (13:32) hi all one second while we troubleshoot

Michael Flemming: (13:32) I apologize, I haven't heard anthing in the past few minutes

vinton cerf: (13:32) alice we need the operator to kill the echo

Rahul Sharma: (13:32) even Vint is echoing

vinton cerf: (13:32) all participants please check your microphones and shut off or dial *6 to mute

Peter Knight: (13:32) I can hear Vint typing up a storm?

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:33) good

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: (13:33) good

Rahul Sharma: (13:33) good to go

Liz Orembo: (13:33) Now good

Deolindo Costa: (13:33) good

Evers - Py: (13:33) good

Alice Jansen: (13:35) @Claudia, questions can be voiced during the Q&A.

Carlton Samuels: (13:35) Hey Dev, Hey Rinalia. Nice to see y'all

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:36) hey

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: (13:36) Hi, Carlton, Rinalia. Likewise

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:36) hi dev

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:39) Next slide please

Peter Knight: (13:41) Issue: how do we define "recourse"-- through what channels in this "loose coupling"shystem? to what "court" and the aggrieved appeal?

Alejandro Pisanty: (13:42) Peter, that is already extant in ICANN, the IETF, and a few more organizations; it is done by design, it is a design principle

Steve Crocker: (13:42) Thanks, Vint

Bill Drake: (13:42) will the slides be made available?

Peter Knight: (13:42) Well, Alejandro, suppose the aggrieved are in ITU, IGF, etc?

Alejandro Pisanty: (13:43) Bill, they are already available, will provide URL

Pindar Wong (HK): (13:43) bottom of here:-

Pindar Wong (HK): (13:43)
https://www.icann.org/en/news/announcements/announcement-06jan14-en.htm

Peter Knight: (13:43) Or in ICANN constelation, wrt actions in ITU, IGF etc. etc.

Alejandro Pisanty: (13:44) suppose, Peter, the IETF is aggrieved by an intergovermental standards-developing organization? that helps us work out a case study

Steve DelBianco: (13:44) Our roadmap should clearly identify those Roads and Detours that ICANN should avoid in order to stick to its limited technical mission

Peter Knight: (13:45) Well, Question 1 touches on this, but obviouusly doesn't doesnt provivde an answer.

Alejandro Pisanty: (13:45) Steve, avoiding mission creep (expansion from mission) is included in the principles, as part of reasonableness

Alice Jansen: (13:45) You may mute using *6

Alice Jansen: (13:45) To unmute *7

vinton cerf: (13:46) Elinor Ostrom

vinton cerf: (13:46) 8 principles for commons

Bill Drake: (13:46) so now I feel pressure for our chat to be as value added as the one from Monday :-)

Steve DelBianco: (13:47) Are we doing a Roadmap for ICANN for for Internet Governance overall?

Steve DelBianco: (13:47) Are we doing a Roadmap for ICANN or for Internet Governance overall?

Grace Abuhamad: (13:47) https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/33X7SY2

Marília Maciel: (13:47) Is there a best before to answer this survey?

Adiel: (13:48) Steb D. it is for ICANN not global IG

Peter Knight: (13:48) http://onthecommons.org/magazine/elinor-ostroms-8-principles-managing-commmons

Adiel: (13:48) I meant "Steve D."

Grace Abuhamad: (13:49) The panel will submit work by Jan. 31, so it would be most helpful to get survey responses within a week from today.

Peter Knight: (13:49) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elinor_Ostrom

Rahul Sharma: (13:49) How can ICANN truly evolve as a International organization, free from US control?

Sam Lanfranco: (13:49) ICANN's remit here is the future of ICANN in the future of the Internet, which makes its vantage point ICANN-centric. It is useful to also look at the overall Internet ecosystem from a stakeholder-centric perspective (from I-Star stakeholders to individuals) to help clarify the dynamics of purposeful action by all players.

Peter Knight: (13:49) ALAC is a good model for internationalization. Of course it is not technical.

Alice Jansen: (13:50) If not speaking, please mute your phone *6

Claudia Padovani: (13:50) I am sorry, lots of interest from my side, but no questions for the time being

Claudia Padovani: (13:50) I may have pressed the wrong botton...

lalla amin: (13:50) How shall we ensure the regional inclusivity to ensure a

most productive output for internet govenance

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:50) Lin Ostrom's work is fantastic, but the challenge is that the principles are drawn from studies on "small communities" - it would be interesting to see if the principles can be scaled up to cover the global Internet community.

Marília Maciel: (13:50) Thanks, Vint. Sounds good on political goals. But, more specifically, does the panel aim to contribute with the upcomig conference in Brazil and how? I know the mandate is broader than that, but that must be in mind, right?

Peter Knight: (13:50) Rinalia, seems like a good point. I need to read.

Alice Jansen: (13:51) Raise you hand to be added to the queue, should you wish to voice your question/comment

Steve DelBianco: (13:51) @vint - is first draft going to suggest Roadmap, or just Principles and Insights?

Marília Maciel: (13:51) Great, @Vint. Thanks

Peter Knight: (13:52) I hope to participate in the São Paulo meeting, even if I have to do so as a journalist!

Peter Knight: (13:52) Lost audio

Steve Crocker: (13:52) Vint, et al: Thanks very much. This is an excellent start. I applaud the work of the panel. I need to break off at this point and will look forward to hearing more.

Rahul Sharma: (13:52) Can ICANN evolve as a transnational institution?

Alice Jansen: (13:52) @Peter, please try reconnecting using a differnt browser

Steve DelBianco: (13:52) @vint -- earlier I suggeted that a roadmap for ICANN should include hazards and detours that ICANN shoudl studiously avoid. -- in order to stick to its mission

lalla amin: (13:53) yes much awaited from the panel

vinton cerf: (13:53) ami i audible?

Marília Maciel: (13:53) Yes

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: (13:53) yes

Rahul Sharma: (13:53) audible

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:53) The Incentives View in Vint's earlier slide seems to be missing the "development" incentive. WSIS for example was interested in how ICT, which includes the internet, can be applied for human centered development. Some people participate in ICANN because they want to help make sure that ICANN decisions support global

development.

Alejandro Pisanty: (13:53) @SteveDB - all your pointers thru the survey will be welcome!!

Marília Maciel: (13:54) Good point, @Rinalia. That should be included imo as well

Philip Sheppard BRG: (13:54) The EU has a paper under political level discussion right now. Release expected early Feb. How will you factor it in to your thinking?

Rahul Sharma: (13:54) Will US laws apply to even ICANN decisions that ar enot being formulated in the US office?

Michael Flemming: (13:54) I second Rahul's question

lalla amin: (13:54) the news on Fais relocation is very encouraging.

Philip Sheppard BRG: (13:54) Yes

Peter Knight: (13:55) Rinalia, I include myself in your group of concerned people regarding ICT4D in relation to IG.

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:55) Of course, Peter. :)

lalla amin 2: (13:56) Sorry-typo--Mr. Fadi

Rahul Sharma: (13:56) Thanks Vint :)

Philip Sheppard BRG: (13:56) Communication on Internet Policy and Governance - Making the best of the Internet

Philip Sheppard BRG: (13:56) Title is provisional

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (13:56) echo

Alice Jansen: (13:56) Bill, please mute your computer speakers

Alice Jansen: (13:56) better - thanks :)

Rahul Sharma: (13:57) What incentive does ICANN have in participation in talk shops such as IGF, ISOC, WGEC?

Peter Knight: (13:57) Re US, the MOU with USDC is usually cited as a problem.

Rahul Sharma: (13:57) Affirmation of Committment ;)

Peter Knight: (13:57) Sorry, AOC!!

Steve DelBianco: (13:59) @vint -- in your draft, please address whether ICANN should embrace the AoC as a long-term accountability mechansims, as opposed to a short term transitional mechansim

Rahul Sharma: (13:59) I am from India, and I have one question when it comes to multistakeholder model... Shall all stakeholders should have equal rights, or shall stakes be in proportion to Internet population in that country?

Michael Flemming: (13:59) Question in regards to Questions of Interest 3: Obviously ICANN's relationships encompass relationships from general users to Registry Operators themselves. However, with the introduction of new types of TLDs (specifically, the introduction of brand TLDs with Specification 13 out for public comment) with the new gTLD program, does the panel consider these types of factors in the mapping of the different layers and relationships of internet in which ICANN functions?

Bill Drake: (14:00) DRAFT REPORTon the US NSA surveillance programme, surveillance bodies in various Member States and their impact on EU citizens' fundamental rights and on transatlantic cooperation in Justice and Home Affairs   89.   Calls on the Commission and the EEAS to take action at the international level, with the UN in particular, and in cooperation with interested partners (such as Brazil), and to implement an EU strategy for democratic governance of the internet in order to prevent undue influence over ICANN's and IANA's activities by any individual entity, company or country by ensuring appropriate representation of all interested parties in these bodies;   90. Calls for the overall architecture of the internet in terms of data flows and storage to be reconsidered, striving for more data minimisation and transparency and less centralised mass storage of raw data, as well as avoiding unnecessary routing of traffic through the territory of countries that do not meet basic standards on fundamental rights, data protecti

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:00) Michael, yes, this type of evolution is factored into our work

Michael Flemming: (14:00) Thank you, Alejandro

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:00) Rahul, the model is mixed - the multistakeholder model does that

Peter Knight: (14:01) I really feel that one country one vote doesn't work in this kind of organization/issue. Of course I spent 20+ years in the World Bank, which has weighted voting, but seeks to make decisions by consensus in the Board.

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:01) in the GAC every country has one voice

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:01) in the specialized discussions it's open to all - consensus, not size, is the guideline

Rahul Sharma: (14:01) GAC is just as useless.... as IGF

Peter Knight: (14:01) Some balance between the one country one vote and weighting by population (of people usinig Intertnet at least 3 times in last

sixd months?).

Philip Sheppard BRG: (14:01) Context of a drfat European parliament report is important

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:02) Can the Panel draw up some sencarios of what "globalization of IANA" would look like? -Doing that would boost confidence in ICANN and enhance participation in ICANN.

Peter Knight: (14:02) European Community decision making is problematic to say the least as the number of less than equal members increases.

Peter Knight: (14:04) Regarding population, of course max expression would recognize the as yet digitallly excluded.

Bill Drake: (14:04) Rinalia: I think the independent panel may be looking at the IANA and related

vinton cerf: (14:04) lalla we are not hearing you

lalla amin 2: (14:05) i am having a problem with the system

Bill Drake: (14:05) I had thought the division of labor between the two is roughly internal vs external

Alice Jansen: (14:05) Lalla, please connect to the bridge to voice your comments/questions - Dial-in numbers: http://adigo.com/icann/
Password: 48291

Carlton Samuels: (14:05) @Peter: I would encourage GAC discussions and positions to remain consensus-driven

Rahul Sharma: (14:05) echo

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:05) Can someone on the independent panel confirm that?

lalla amin 2: (14:05) just about the regional dynamics

Steve DelBianco: (14:05) Steve DelBianco: @vint -- in your draft, please address whether ICANN should embrace the AoC as a long-term accountability mechanism, as opposed to a short term transitional mechansim

Alice Jansen: (14:05) please mute your line if not speaking, *6

lalla amin 2: (14:05) yes

Alice Jansen: (14:05) thank you!

Marília Maciel: (14:06) Is there any issue regarding globalization of ICANN in which external contributions could be useful? Did you identify knowledge gaps to move forward or issues are clear and obstacles are more political?

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:06) scenarios of IANA globaliation

Rahul Sharma: (14:06) Good question Rahim

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:06) excellent!

Marília Maciel: (14:07) Great, my question was related to that

lalla amin 2: (14:07) how can we optimize regional input towards the road map

Bill Drake: (14:07) Vint, so then both panels 4 and 5 will be looking at globalization?

Adiel: (14:07) Hello "@SteveDB" The AoC evolution is teken into consideration as transition mechanism. It in fact already is

Bill Drake: (14:07) internal/external, ok

Bill Drake: (14:07) would be good so synch a bit

Steve DelBianco: (14:08) Mutual commitments makes sense!

Peter Knight: (14:08) I like Vint's ideas here, the trick is to operationalize.

Carlton Samuels: (14:09) Because otherwise you will surely get a strong motion to UN Security Council-like arrangements with veto powers. Think multi-faceted mutual commitments

Bill Drake: (14:09) we had extended discussions on globalization of the AOC at the IGF a couple years ago

Carlton Samuels: (14:09) Exactly Vint!

Grace Abuhamad: (14:09) @Bill, do you know what IGF year that was?

Pindar Wong (HK): (14:09) Q to Bill: Where did you land?

Peter Knight: (14:09) Bill, what emerged from those discussions?

Steve DelBianco: (14:10) Note of caution: "Globalization of IANA" does not mean that ICANN gets permanent control over IANA contract. UN/ITU openly covet control over IANA

Peter Knight: (14:10) At least the bottom line!

Marília Maciel: (14:10) Transcripts are online, Bill. At least they were a couple of months ago

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:11) Steve D - drawing up scenarios means one can look at implications of each.

Patrick Ryan: (14:11) Bill: this *may* be on the friendsofigf.org site now, take a look.

Peter Knight: (14:11) Perhaps some totaling up of pluses and minuses of eacvh "scenario"

Patrick Ryan: (14:11) oops, correction, www.friendsofTHEigf.org.

Marília Maciel: (14:12) Yes, @Vint, absolutely agree about keeping IGF discussions searchable. That was a recommendation from CSTDWG on IGf improvemens. But it seems there was no resources to do that. Maybe a

very skillful team of a successful company could give a hand? In kind contribution ;)

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:13) Perhaps principles of globalization of IANA should be drawn up as well. :)

Michael Flemming: (14:13) I want to touch up on Carlton's comment as well. To move back towards just a broader point of internet governance, if ICANN moves towards a more global presence and operating on a level outside of control of the United States (away from the IANA treaty), then what keeps other countries in check with working together with ICANN? Perhaps this is a very touchy question and a very touchy topic, but please forgive my rudeness, I am asking this out of curiosity.

Philip Sheppard BRG: (14:13) @Marilia Or a university pro bono

Marília Maciel: (14:14) @Vint, that would be excellent! True contribution for the community

Michael Flemming: (14:14) As just recently spoken by Vint, the definition of globalization itself

Peter Knight: (14:15) There is a new book out by Laura deNardis, The Global War for Internet Governance. I've just begun to read, so no comments on it yet. Available in Kindle.

lalla amin 2: (14:15) ensuring transparency towards standards would be useful

Marília Maciel: (14:15) @Phillip, yes taxonomy is certainly smth academy could help. And there is a technical side of storage, interface with user. Joint effort!

Steve DelBianco: (14:16) haven't heard "Bogarting the joint." for decades!

Michael Flemming: (14:16) Thanks Peter

Marília Maciel: (14:17) @Peter, the book is excellent. I am half way through and really enjoying. A few ppl transit between technical and and social sciences like Laura

lalla amin 2: (14:19) how can we see Best prqctice of standards?

Peter Knight: (14:19) Book is at the top of my reading list!

Michael Flemming: (14:19) Is there another chat room we can move to for those who want to continue?

Michael Flemming: (14:19) That was a poor joke on my part

Michael Flemming: (14:20) But I would be more than happy to

Bill Drake: (14:20) @Steve sorry I live in the 70s

Peter Knight: (14:20) I guess we can always open a facebook page or Skype

chat.
lalla amin 2: (14:20) sorry--best practices
Peter Knight: (14:21) Problem with talking is that it just can't support may people participating
Steve DelBianco: (14:21) Danger sign on the Roadmap: "Globalization of IANA" does not mean that ICANN gets permanent control over IANA contract. UN/ITU openly covet control over IANA
vinton cerf: (14:21) yes exactly -
Philip Sheppard BRG: (14:21) @Vint - splitting questions from the Chat window works better in Adobe Connect
Wilfried Woeber: (14:21) I think this "meeting" was very usefuland informative, in particular for me, not directly involved.
Pindar Wong (HK): (14:21) not good for those who are typing disadvantaged
Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:21) but good for those who prefer not to talk ;)
Peter Knight: (14:22) I like the multi-person possibilities of chat. We us in ALAC and NARALO, via Skype. I like Vint summarizing oraly.
Peter Knight: (14:22) It seems to work, then Panel can review later.
Adam Peake: (14:22) Bills point about documentation, clarity and root servers (thou not only). Often once governments see a process operating in a transparent. predictable manner, following agreed standards/rules etc, they may not ask for any further role, except to monitor.
Marília Maciel: (14:22) That would be useful
Rick Troth: (14:22) Typeing helps when clarity is needed, such as when you first mentioned Elinor Ostrom.
Carlton Samuels: (14:23) Voice transcription! Yess!!
Grace Abuhamad: (14:23) @Bill is this the transcript?
http://www.intgovforum.org/cms/component/content/article/71-transcripts-/915-mcir-workshop-178-institutional-choice-in-global-internet-governance
lalla amin 2: (14:23) i hope to join by voice next time....thank you .
Peter Knight: (14:23) There is always the e-mail option. Chat record can be left online -- already happened.
Carlton Samuels: (14:23) + Transcription is good for tansparency
Bill Drake: (14:23) H Grace yes that's it
Dev Anand Teelucksingh: (14:23) Real Time Scribing is useful and works well at ICANN F2F meetings, haven't seen it used for conference calls

Grace Abuhamad: (14:23) thank you!

Bill Drake: (14:23) how'd you find it so fast?

Michael Flemming: (14:23) Are any members of GAC active in these panels? Or are they strictly dedicated to their work of providing input from the GAC side?

Grace Abuhamad: (14:24) @Bill I'm a trained librarian/researcher!

Wilfried Woeber: (14:24) real-time transscript CAN be useful, but it is hard to get into "production" quality. We are working in a particularly special lingo and abbreviation world

Bill Drake: (14:24) ah, magic skills Grace! :-)

Peter Knight: (14:24) Leaving the transcript online and then allowing people to contribute later via e-mail and publish the e-mails as an addendum to the chat. Then someone (Vint may tire...) to summarize.

Marília Maciel: (14:25) Thanks for this initiative. It was very helpful

lalla amin 2: (14:25) Thank You for everyones' valuable time.

Michael Flemming: (14:25) Thank you very much for the webinar

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:26) Thanks for all contributions - we'll sure take them in!!

Peter Knight: (14:26) Mos appreciated. Michael, what is your organization or independent status? Lots of Mike Flemmings in Linkedin.

Rick Troth: (14:26) I believe the ITU will try again.

Pindar Wong (HK): (14:26) This has been very helpful. Thank you for your input. Please complete the survey if time permits

Michael Flemming: (14:26) Peter, unfortunately I am not on Linkedin

Peter Knight: (14:27) God forbid more international organizations!

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:27) @Michael, yes, GAC people on panels

Bill Drake: (14:27) Russia and Saudi Arabia may say it but that doesn't mean it's a live thing we really need to get worried about

Marília Maciel: (14:27) I think the same actors will continue to say the same thing in ITU. Nothing very new.

Tony: (14:27) it remains a very real risk

Michael Flemming: (14:27) Thank you Alejandro

Marília Maciel: (14:27) But security will be pushed somewhere, that's a concern

Bill Drake: (14:28) ITU-T or ITU-R?

Bill Drake: (14:28) ITU-T is pretty dead

Rick Troth: (14:28) Not disparaging the ITU, it's simply human nature to

grab control. We know that the internet works best when distributed, but it's tough to "let go".

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:28) Michael, in this panel it was Janis Karklins and I hope I'm not missingo someone els from memory right now

Bill Drake: (14:28) they do spectrum stuff

Michael Flemming: (14:28) Perer, on a side note, my organization status is with Brights Consulting in Japan.

Michael Flemming: (14:28) Peter*

Rinalia Abdul Rahim: (14:29) Appreciate the work of the panel.

Wilfried Woeber: (14:29) all teh best!

Bill Drake: (14:29) yes thanks to the panel!

Alice Jansen: (14:29) Thank you for joining this session. The recording, slides and transcript will be posted on the panel's dedicated webpage as well as on the announcement webpage.

Michael Flemming: (14:29) Thank you, Alejandro, note taken.

Marília Maciel: (14:29) Thanks to all and to organizers

Peter Knight: (14:29) OK, Michael. Thanks especially for all involved in setting up and running this webinar.

Carlton Samuels: (14:29) Thanks all

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: (14:29) take care

Deolindo Costa: (14:29) thank you all

Jahangir Hossain: (14:29) Thanks all

P J Narayanan: (14:29) Thanks everyone! IT was a great webinar

Evers - Py: (14:29) may thanks

48291 2: (14:30) Thanx!

Peter Knight: (14:30) Ciao all!

Michael Flemming: (14:30) Good night

Eric Evrard: (14:30) Dear all, please note that i will disconnect the Audio Bridge.

Peter Knight: (14:30) Still morning in Rio, beautiful day begun with SUP paddling

Pindar Wong (HK): (14:30) Thanks everyone!

Alice Jansen: (14:31) The audio bridge has been disconnected. We are leaving the adobe connect chat room open for now.

Alice Jansen: (14:45) Feel free to insert any follow-up comments you may have. The panel will receive a copy of the chat transcript.

Grace Abuhamad: (14:50) Unless there are any objections, I motion to close

the chat

Alejandro Pisanty: (14:57) agree with Grace re closing as no further participation appears to be forthcoming now

Alice Jansen: (14:58) In absence of objections and activity in this chat room, we will proceed with closing this adobe connect room. Should you wish to contact the Strategy Panel, you may reach them at ioepanel@icann.org (a publicly archived mailing list). While it welcomes early input, the Strategy Panel also invites you to participate in the survey https://www.surveymonkey.com/s/33X7SY2 by 31 January - COB. Thanks!