Strategy Panel on Identifier Technology Innovation Webinar Session 2 – Wednesday, 23 January 2014

Alice Jansen: (1/23/2014 12:13) Welcome to Strategy Panel on Identifier Technology Innovation webinar - session 2! Please note that chat sessions are being archived and follow the ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior: http://www.icann.org/en/news/infocus/accountability/expected-standards Donna Austin, ARI: (20:09) Hi Alice, is this presentation available to download?

Alice Jansen: (20:10) Thank you for joining! Feel free to insert any comments or questions you may have into this pod.

Alice Jansen: (20:10) Hi Donna, the slides are available at:

http://www.icann.org/en/about/learning/webinars/iti-22jan14-en.pdf

Donna Austin, ARI: (20:11) Thanks Alice

Donna Austin, ARI: (20:28) What is the benefit of distributing copies of the root zone to any server that wants one?

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (20:34) Why does the US DoC need to give its OK? Geoff Huston: (20:34) In my opinion such a move could remove the pressure on the current model of 13 anycast clouds and allows any party to publish the signed root zone for a local served community manning: (20:36) Geoff, it is possible to do this now. near realtime copies of the signed root zone are available from at least two roots now. Nothing stops you from pulling a copy and running it locally

Geoff Huston: (20:38) Hi Bill, thats true, but at present the NS records for the root are included in an RRSIG field in the root zone, so at present you would also need to use one of these 13 anycast addresses as well. Maybe it is possible to look at ways that remove the belt and suspenders approach today where there is both signed data and retrieval from one of 13 distinguished addresses

manning: (20:40) Geoff, that just moves the existing roots to DNSSEC validation instead of lable lookup

Alice Jansen: (20:42) should you wish to voice your comments or questions, please join the bridge - Dial-in numbers: http://adigo.com/icann/

Password: 48291

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (20:43) thanks PAul

Evan Leibovitch: (20:44) I have a question about scope. The subject of the websinar and the panel itself is "Identifier technology", but the only thing

I'm hearing about is essentially "DNS-NextGen". Why is there no discussion of alternatives **TO** the DNS such as Software Defined Networks or TOR? I see this as an unfair limitation toa full and frank discussion of innovation.

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (20:44) QR Codes?

Alice Jansen: (20:45) Feel free to use the "Mic" - happy to read your

comments too :-)

Evan Leibovitch: (20:45) Thanks, Alice. Please read my question for me.

Alice Jansen: (20:45) Will do!

Evan Leibovitch: (20:49) I think the mention f QR ciodes was as another

examples of alternative naming systems.

Evan Leibovitch: (20:50) or, rather, alternatives TO naming systems.

Geoff Huston: (20:54) With respect to Olivier's metion of name schemas for URIs, I should note that ICANN already operate the URI Scheme registry - you can find it at http://www.iana.org/assignments/uri-schemes/uri-schemes.xhtml

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (20:56) Thankjs

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (21:01) Thanks Anne Marie Anne-Marie Eklund Löwinder: (21:03) I lost the line.

Anne-Marie Eklund Löwinder: (21:03) Could you call me back?

Alice Jansen: (21:03) Anne Marie, on it

Alice Jansen: (21:03) The operator is calling you Anne-Marie Eklund Löwinder: (21:04) I am back. .)

Alice Jansen: (21:04) great :-)

Alice Jansen: (21:11) there are approximately 20 minutes left. Is there a

question you would like to ask the Panel?

Evan Leibovitch: (21:15) Sorry, need to leave.

Alice Jansen: (21:16) thanks for participating, Evan!

Alice Jansen: (21:19) Note: the panel may be reached at itipanel@icann.org

(publicly archived mailing list)

Olivier Crepin-Leblond: (21:20) Thanks that's very interesting and helpful

indeed!

Alejandro Pisanty: (21:21) Great presentation and discussion. Cheers all!

Anne-Marie Eklund Löwinder: (21:22) Thank you all! Alice Jansen: (21:22) Thank you for participating!