IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF FRANKLIN COUNTY, OHIO 369 SOUTH HIGH STREET COLUMBUS OH 43215

CASE NO: 11 CVC 04-4434

YEAGER, ANN M.
3546 STEUBENVILLE RD SE
AMSTERDAM OH 43903
TEL: NONE
PLAINTIFF, PRO SE

MOTION TO STAY JUDGMENT & GRANT PLAINTIFF MORE TIME IN WHICH TO FILE

V.

GODADDY.COM ET AL

DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff respectfully seeks to stay Judgment Entry, June 20, 2011, directing the Plaintiff to more fully comply with Civil Procedure Rules 8 A, 8 E, and 12 E—for good cause.

The Plaintiff has filed, pro se, the victim of negligent behavior of the Defendants; Though the Plaintiff has sought Counsel, to date, the Plaintiff is still without legal Counsel, and is forced for answer, plead, research, and file—under extreme personal duress; The law library in the County in which the Plaintiff resides, is only available to the general public on Monday from 8:30 am to 10:30 am and 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm, dividing two hours to research in between a layover, or 14 mile commute to the Plaintiff's residence; The Plaintiff has filed hardship, and is with limited personal funds, borrowing money to fulfill copies of any Motions respective mailings to Defendants' Counsels, necessary supplies; and legal research copies; The Plaintiff has filed five Petitions, resulting from the same origin of invasion into the Plaintiff's business, in the Franklin County Common Pleas—thus, the Plaintiff performs the same research in answering multiple Motions by multiple Defendants; Any conjunctive research—gleaned from the Internet—in order to so prove—must also be made outside the convenience of the Plaintiff's home office—at a minimum of the Carroll County District

Library facility, 14 mile round trip, where patrons are limited to one hour access to said computers—if anyone is waiting for said access.

The combination of these facts—make it considerably hard for the Plaintiff to easily correct the errors—and answer the multiple Defendants' Motions—in the Court deems, in Yeager v Godaddy et al, shall be corrected in the Plaintiff's Petition—or dismissed.

The Plaintiff is willing to comply with the Court's directive—however, the Plaintiff asks for an extension of time to comply—beyond the mere 14 (fourteen days) from June 20, 2011 Judgment Entry, in which the Plaintiff received notice this date, by USPS mail, June 22, 2011, shortening time to 12 days; and in which said time to so grant, this motion shall be shorter.

In light of these facts, the Plaintiff moves the Court—grant 30 (thirty) days from the entry of Judgment—which does grant an extension of time—in which to remit a correction—in compliance with the Court's orders; thus, if the Court grants said extension by June 28, 2011, the Plaintiff would have thirty days from said date to so comply, or be dismissed.

ANN YEAGER, PLAINTIFF, PRO SE

NOTE FOR THE COURT:

The Court notes in said Order, June 20, 2011, that the Plaintiff does not have a telephone in which to contact her. The Plaintiff is without any telecommunications, due to the severe nature in which said breach invades in five said cellular phones, two distinct landlines, at least seven different telephone numbers, and one Yahoo merchant account—occurring from 2004-to date. This gives rise to Yeager v Alltel et al, and proves that the negligence of those said Defendants—will force the Plaintiff to hire a security firm to prevent intrusion for the rest of her life—as it is apparent—by the nature of said invasion—crossing into all aspects of radio frequency associated with the Plaintiff, she is not secure—in any

telecommunications.

I certify that a copy of this Motion has been remitted to the Defendants.

ANN YEAGER, PLAINTIFF, PRO SE

CC:

Jones Day 325 John H McConnell Boulevard Suite 600 Columbus OH 43215-43215-2673 Representing Defendant, ICANN

Thompson Hine
312 Walnut Street
14th Floor
Cincinnati OH 45202-4089
Representing Defendant Go Daddy Group

Ibrahim Kazanci P O Box 67158 Calgary Alberta T2L 2L2 Canada