

Update on ccTLD Agreements

Herbert Vitzthum ICANN ccTLD Liaison

Author: Theresa Swinehart

Counsel for International Legal Affairs -- ICANN

Introduction

- Recently posted ccTLD Update and two models
 - ◆ Update tries to give an overview of current thinking based on past discussions
 - ◆ Also posted two model agreements
- Reason for posting –
 - ◆ Go forward in reaching agreements
 - ◆ Facilitate one-to-one negotiations with transparency
 - ◆ Provide material for community discussions

A. Update and overview section

- Discussion paper overview
 - ◆ Based on past two years of face-to-face and ccTLD constituency meetings
 - ◆ Materials and drafts from community
- And background on the function of ccTLDs in the DNS
 - ◆ History of ccTLD delegations and RFC 1591 and ICP-1

B. Discussions and moving forward

- ICANN's MoU with US Government
 - ◆ Complete transition means 'develop appropriate relationships with other entities involved in the Internet's operation'
 - ◆ ICANN Board resolutions – Cairo and Melbourne

B. Discussions in ICANN forums continued...

- ccTLD Best Practice Guidelines for ccTLD Managers (version 4.1, June 2001)
 - ◆ Reflects well established principles of trusteeship and responsibility to local Internet community
- GAC Principles
 - ◆ Private sector leadership
 - ◆ Framework of accountability

B. Discussion consistencies...

- Fundamental history – delegated manager serves as a trustee in service of the local Internet community
- With increased importance of Internet at national and international level, some form of solidifying current relationships of entities involved.

C. So...types of approaches

- No single agreement, or single structure, will work for every ccTLD
 - ◆ Enormous diversity of management structures
 - ◆ Organizational forms
 - ◆ Mechanisms of accountability
 - ◆ Relationships (or not) with governments

C. Subject areas of models...

- Delegation – and applicable principles
- Local and global policy responsibilities
 - ◆ ccTLD and local Internet community
 - ◆ ICANN and global Internet community
- ccTLD relationship with ICANN and IANA and responsibilities
 - ◆ Detailed responsibilities for ICANN/IANA
- ICANN funding for overseeing global responsibility

C. 'Triangular Situation'

- Applies where ccTLD and government reach their own arrangement
- Topics covered:
 - ◆ Delegation and re-delegation
 - ◆ Sponsoring organization unless termination of agreement.
 - ◆ Local and global policy responsibilities
 - ◆ ccTLD – ICANN/IANA relationship
 - ◆ Technical specification
 - ◆ ICANN funding – ‘in accordance with an equitable scale, based on ICANN’s total funding’

C. MoU for Legacy Situations

- Government not directly involved
- Prepared model MoU for legacy situations
 - ◆ Designed to be light and minimalist
 - ◆ Memorializes intended commitments of ICANN and ccTLD manager
 - ◆ Language from existing agreements
 - ◆ To balance demands – government informed but consent not required
 - ◆ Work this out with ccTLD manager

D. Progress to date

- Come very far...progressive...
- Each ccTLD is in a different situation regarding type of agreement and timing
 - ◆ E.g., Australia (.au)
- Liaison with ccTLDs important in understanding functional models
- Moving forward with agreements

What's next...

- A 'non-legal' explanation of the current models posted
 - ◆ 'easy to understand' even for me and me 😊
- Comparison with existing documents, will be posted soon.
- The posting is base to move forward
- Regional meetings good opportunity to review, discuss, ask questions
- Face to face meetings have been useful and will be focus in coming months