IN THE CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT – DIVISION 4

DOTCONNECTAFRICA TRUST,

Appellant

 \mathbf{v} .

INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS.

Respondent,

and

ZA CENTRAL REGISTRY, NPC

Respondent-Intervenor.

Trial Court Case No. BC607494 On Appeal From Los Angeles County Superior Court Honorable Robert B. Broadbelt III, Judge

RESPONDENT-INTERVENOR ZA CENTRAL REGISTRY, NPC'S BRIEF

David W. Kesselman (SBN 203838)

dkesselman@kbslaw.com

Amy T. Brantly (SBN 210893)

abrantly@kbslaw.com Kara D. McDonald (SBN 225540)

kmcdonald@kbslaw.com

KESSELMAN BRANTLY STOCKINGER LLP

1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 400

Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Telephone: (310) 307-4555 | Facsimile: (310) 307-4570

Attorneys for Respondent-Intervenor ZA Central Registry, NPC

TO BE FILED IN THE COURT OF APPEAL

COURT OF APPEAL Second APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION 4	COURT OF APPEAL CASE NUMBER: B302739
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: STATE BAR NUMBER: 203838 NAME: David W. Kesselman FIRM NAME: Kesselman Brantly Stockinger LLP STREET ADDRESS: 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 400 CITY: Manhattan Beach STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 90266 TELEPHONE NO.: 310-307-4555 FAX NO.: 310-307-4570 E-MAIL ADDRESS: dkesselman@kbslaw.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Respondent-Intervenor ZA CENTRAL REGISTRY, NPC APPELLANT/ DotConnectAfrica Trust	SUPERIOR COURT CASE NUMBER: BC607494
PETITIONER: RESPONDENT/ Internet Corporation For Assigned Names and Numbers, REAL PARTY IN INTEREST: et al	
CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS	
(Check one): X INITIAL CERTIFICATE SUPPLEMENTAL CERTIFICATE	
Notice: Please read rules 8.208 and 8.488 before completing this form. You certificate in an appeal when you file your brief or a prebriefing motion, appropriation or application in the Court of Appeal, and when you file a petition for also use this form as a supplemental certificate when you learn of changed be disclosed.	olication, or opposition to such a or an extraordinary writ. You may
This form is being submitted on behalf of the following party (name):	<u> </u>
 a. x There are no interested entities or persons that must be listed in this certificate. b. Interested entities or persons required to be listed under rule 8.208 are as follows: 	-
	ure of interest (Explain):
(1)	
(2)	fri-fr
(3)	-
(4)	Pu
(5)	A
Continued on attachment 2.	٥
The undersigned certifies that the above-listed persons or entities (corporations, association, but not including government entities or their agencies) have either (partnerships, firms, or any other 1) an ownership interest of 10 percent or
more in the party if it is an entity; or (2) a financial or other interest in the outcome should consider in determining whether to disqualify themselves, as defined in ru	of the proceeding that the justices
Date: March 3, 2021	+ 1 T
David W. Kesselman (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNAT	- Kur

Page 1 of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	$\underline{\text{Page}}$
CER'	PIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES 2
	LE OF CONTENTS
TABI	LE OF AUTHORITIES4
I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	THE AWARD OF COSTS TO ZACR SHOULD BE
	AFFIRMED BECAUSE DCA FORFEITED ANY
	ARGUMENT THAT THE AWARD WAS IMPROPRER
	BY FAILING TO RAISE THE ISSUE ON APPEAL 6
III.	CONCLUSION
CER	TIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE 8

Document received by the CA 2nd District Court of Appeal.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	$\underline{\text{Page}(s)}$
Cases	
Paulus v. Bob Lynch Ford, Inc., 139 Cal. App. 4th 659 (2006)	6
Safeway Wage & Hour Cases, 43 Cal. App. 5th 665 (2019)	6

I. INTRODUCTION

This Court should affirm the trial court's award of \$46,634.49 in costs to Intervenor-Respondent ZA Central Registry, NPC ("ZACR"). For years, Plaintiff-Appellant DotConnectAfrica Trust ("DCA") sought to judicially invalidate a contract between intervenor ZA Central Registry, NPC ("ZACR") and Defendant-Respondent Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN"). Specifically, by its Tenth Claim in its First Amended Complaint ("FAC"), DCA sought a declaration that the ten-year Registry Agreement between ZACR and ICANN for the operation of the gTLD .Africa should be declared null and void. (2AEX1558-1587, First Amended Complaint at ¶¶ 126-132.¹)Accordingly, ZACR was forced to intervene in the underlying action to protect its interests in the Registry Agreement. During the next three years, ZACR actively participated in the litigation, including briefing and arguing against DCA's motion for a preliminary injunction, propounding and responding to discovery, participating in depositions, and preparing for trial. (2CCT444-452, Opposition to Motion to Tax Costs; 2CCT381-443, Declaration of David W. Kesselman.²) In doing so, ZACR incurred

The citation to "AEX" refers to the volumes of trial exhibits and transcripts lodged by DCA on December 3, 2020.

² Citations to "CCT" refer to the Clerk's Transcript relating to DCA's appeal of the cost awards to ZACR and Respondent ICANN, which was filed on September 9, 2020.

tens of thousands of dollars in costs. (*Id.*) On October 3, 2019, this Court ruled that DCA was judicially estopped from pursuing this action and dismissed DCA's lawsuit in full. (20MCT4526-4537, Statement of Decision; 20MCT4522-4524, Final Judgment.³) As a prevailing party, ZACR timely filed a verified cost memorandum. (2CCT60-72). On March 11, 2020, the trial court ordered costs in the amount of \$46,634.49. (2CCT525-535). DCA appealed.⁴

II. THE AWARD OF COSTS TO ZACR SHOULD BE AFFIRMED BECAUSE DCA FORFEITED ANY ARGUMENT THAT THE AWARD WAS IMPROPRER BY FAILING TO RAISE THE ISSUE ON APPEAL

On Appeal, DCA's opening brief makes no mention of the trial court's award of costs to ZACR. Accordingly, DCA has forfeited any argument that the trial court erred or abused its discretion in awarding costs to ZACR. See, e.g. Safeway Wage & Hour Cases, 43 Cal. App. 5th 665, 687 n. 9 (2019) (contentions not raised in opening brief are forfeited); Paulus v. Bob Lynch Ford,

³ Citations to "MCT" refer to the Clerk's Transcript relating to DCA's appeal of the trial court's dismissal of its claims, which was filed on June 18, 2020.

⁴ DCA appealed both the trial court's dismissal of its claims and the trial court's order awarding costs to ZACR and ICANN. On July 14, 2020, the appeals were ordered consolidated for the purposes of briefing, argument, and decision. (Order Consolidating Appeals, July 14, 2020.)

Inc., 139 Cal. App. 4th 659, 686 (2006) (affirming award of fees and costs where appellant failed to preserve argument in opening brief). Accordingly, this Court should affirm the trial court's award of costs to ZACR in its entirety.⁵

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth herein, the trial court's award of \$46,634.49 in costs to ZACR should be affirmed.

Dated: March 3, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

KESSELMAN BRANTLY STOCKINGER LLP

By: s/David W. Kesselman
David W. Kesselman
Amy T. Brantly
Kara McDonald

Attorneys for Respondent-Intervenor ZA CENTRAL REGISTRY, NPC

⁵ ZACR did not participate in the Phase I Trial on the issue of judicial estoppel and therefore does not separately address DCA's contentions on appeal as to the trial court's ruling on that issue. The trial court's ruling and its dismissal of the underlying action should be affirmed for the reasons set forth in ICANN's respondent's brief.

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Counsel for Respondent-Intervenor hereby certifies, pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 8.204(c)(1), that the foregoing brief was produced using 13-point type, including footnotes, and contains approximately 563 words, exclusive of the materials stated in Rule 8.204(c)(3), which is less than the 14,000 words permitted by this rule. Counsel relied on the word count of the computer program used to prepare this brief.

Dated: March 3, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

KESSELMAN BRANTLY STOCKINGER LLP

By: s/David W. Kesselman
David W. Kesselman
Amy T. Brantly
Kara McDonald

Attorneys for Respondent-Intervenor ZA CENTRAL REGISTRY, NPC