	I	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jeffrey A. LeVee (State Bar No. 125863) Emma Killick (State Bar No. 192469) Sean W. Jaquez (State Bar No. 223132) JONES DAY 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4600 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1025 Telephone: (213) 489-3939 Facsimile: (213) 243-2539  Joe Sims (pro hac vice pending) JONES DAY 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001-2113 Telephone: (202) 879-3939 Facsimile: (202) 626-1700	FILED  2001 MAR - 5 PM 3: 55  2001 MAR - 5 PM 3: 55  2001 MAR - 5 PM 3: 55
9	Attorneys for Defendant INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS	
11	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
13		,
14	REGISTERSITE.COM, et al.,	Case No. 04CV1368 ABC (CWx)
l5   l6	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT INTERNET CORPORATION FOR
17	V.	ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS' NOTICE OF
18	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a	RELATED CASES
19	California Corporation; VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	[Central District Local Rule 83-1.3.1]
20	Defendants.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
28		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendant Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers ("ICANN") hereby gives notice, pursuant to United States District Court Central District of California Local Rule 83-1.3.1, that this matter is substantially related to two (2) other matters previously filed or currently pending in this Court. ICANN refers the Court to the Notice of Related Case that ICANN has filed on this date in Case No. 04CV1292 AHM (CTx).

The instant action was filed on March 1, 2004 and is based solely on a dispute over a "Wait Listing Service" or "WLS" proposed by VeriSign, Inc. ("VeriSign") with respect to the Internet. VeriSign is also named as a defendant in the action. The plaintiffs essentially allege that ICANN should not permit VeriSign to implement WLS.

In Dotster, Inc., et al. v. Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers, Case No. 03-CV5045 JFW (MANx) (hereinafter "Dotster"), the plaintiffs also alleged that ICANN should not approve WLS. Judge Walters dismissed the Dotster case only three months ago. Judge Walters invested considerable time with respect to the Dotster case (which involved both a request for temporary restraining order and a motion for preliminary injunction); substantial duplication of labor would occur if the two cases were heard by different judges.

On February 27, 2004, another lawsuit that concerns the WLS was filed in this District. This suit, captioned *Verisign, Inc. v. Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers, et al.*, Case No. 04-CV1292 AHM (CTx) (hereinafter "*VeriSign*"), involves a series of disputes between VeriSign and defendant ICANN. One of those disputes concerns VeriSign's claim that ICANN has breached an agreement between VeriSign and ICANN by <u>delaying</u> approval of WLS.

In short, all three of these cases specifically involve VeriSign's proposal to implement WLS. In VeriSign's lawsuit, ICANN is accused of delaying the introduction of WLS; in the other two lawsuits, ICANN is accused of breaching

1	contracts by permitting WLS to proceed. In order to prevent the substantial	
2	duplication of judicial resources, as well as the possibility of inconsistent	
3	judgments, both the instant matter and the VeriSign matter should be transferred to	
4	Judge Walters.	
5	Data d. Manufa 5, 2004 IONIES DAM	
6	Dated: March 5, 2004 JONES DAY	
7	Du John M. H.	
8	By: Jeffrey A. ILeVee	
9	Attorneys for Defendant	
10	INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
27		
4-1		

28

## PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES: 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4600, Los 4 Angeles, California 90013. 5 On March 5, 2004, I caused to be served the document described as: 6 DEFENDANT INTERNET CORPORATION FOR ASSIGNED NAMES AND **NUMBERS' NOTICE OF RELATED CASES** 7 8 on the interested parties in this action. 9 X BY (U.S. MAIL) I placed the original X a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) to the addressee(s) as follows: 10 SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 11 BY PERSONAL SERVICE I placed the original true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) and caused such envelope to be hand delivered via messenger to the offices of 12 the addressee(s) as follows: 13 I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing 14 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the 15 ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit 16 of mailing in affidavit. 17 (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that 18 the foregoing is true and correct. X (FEDERAL) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this 19 Court at whose direction this service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 21 Executed on March 5, 2004, at Los Angeles, California. 22 Elba Alonso de Ortega 23 Type or Print Name 24 25

26

27

28

1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u> <u>REGISTERSITE.COM v. ICANN</u>
2	
3	Newman & Newman, Attorneys at Law Derek A. Newman S. Christopher Winter
4	Venkat Balasubramani Roger M. Townsend
5	505 Fifth Avenue, South, Suite 610 Seattle, WA 98104
6	
7	John Jeffrey General Counsel
8	ICANN 4676 Admiralty Way, Suite 330 Marina del Rey, CA 90292
9	Marina del Rey, CA 90292
10	
11	
12	•
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	