

1 RONALD L. JOHNSTON (State Bar No. 057418)
2 LAURENCE J. HUTT (State Bar No. 066269)
3 SUZANNE V. WILSON (State Bar No. 152399)
4 JAMES S. BLACKBURN (State Bar No. 169134)
5 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
6 777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor
7 Los Angeles, California 90017-5844
8 Telephone: (213) 243-4000
9 Facsimile: (213) 243-4199

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
11 Cross-Defendant &
12 Cross-Complainant VeriSign, Inc.

13 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

15 VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation,)

16 Plaintiff,)

17 v.)

18 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR)
19 ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a)
20 California corporation; DOES 1-50,)

21 Defendants.)

Case No. BC 320 763

[Assigned for all purposes to Judge Rolf M. Treu]

CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR:

- (1) **BREACH OF CONTRACT;**
(2) **DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF; AND**
(3) **UNFAIR COMPETITION IN VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200 ET SEQ.**

Complaint filed: Aug. 27, 2004

ICANN Cross-Compl. filed: Nov. 12, 2004

VeriSign Cross-Compl. filed: Dec. 28, 2004

22 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR)
23 ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a)
24 California corporation,)

25 Cross-Complainant,)

26 v.)

27 VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation,)

28 Cross-Defendant.)

29 VERISIGN, INC., a Delaware corporation,)

30 Cross-Complainant,)

31 v.)

32 INTERNET CORPORATION FOR)
33 ASSIGNED NAMES AND NUMBERS, a)
34 California corporation; DOES 51-100,)

35 Cross-Defendants.)

1 Cross-Complainant VERISIGN, INC. ("VeriSign"), for its Cross-Complaint herein, alleges
2 as follows:

3 1. VeriSign commenced this action against the Internet Corporation for Assigned
4 Names and Numbers ("ICANN") through the filing of a Complaint on August 27, 2004. As part of
5 its response to the Complaint, on or about November 12, 2004, ICANN cross-complained against
6 VeriSign alleging, among other matters, purported claims arising out of supposed additional, and
7 more recent, circumstances and occurrences than those forming the basis of the Complaint.

8 2. By its Answer filed concurrently herewith, VeriSign denies all material allegations
9 of ICANN's Cross-Complaint. In compliance with the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure
10 sections 426.10 *et seq.* and 428.10 *et seq.*, the purpose of this Cross-Complaint is for VeriSign to
11 assert against ICANN those further claims that it presently has against ICANN relating to the same
12 additional, and more recent, circumstances and occurrences alleged in and forming a subject matter
13 of ICANN's Cross-Complaint.

14 PARTIES

15 3. Cross-Complainant VeriSign is a corporation, duly organized and existing under the
16 laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal office and place of business located in Mountain
17 View, California. Since 1992, VeriSign or its predecessor, Network Solutions, Inc. ("NSI"), has
18 acted as the exclusive registry for the ".com" top-level domain, among others.

19 4. Cross-Defendant ICANN is a nonprofit corporation, organized and existing under the
20 laws of the State of California, with its principal office and place of business located in Marina del
21 Rey, California.

22 5. Cross-Defendants Does 51-100 are persons who instigated, encouraged, facilitated,
23 acted in concert or conspiracy with, aided and abetted, or are otherwise responsible in some manner
24 or degree for the breaches or conduct of ICANN alleged herein. VeriSign is presently ignorant of
25 the true names and capacities of Does 51-100, and will amend this Cross-Complaint accordingly
26 once they are known.
27
28

INCORPORATION

6. VeriSign incorporates by this reference as though fully set forth herein the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 76 of its Complaint on file in this action.

ACCREDITATION OF REGISTRARS

7. Internet domain names consist of a string of "domains" separated by periods. "Top-level" domains, or "TLDs," are found to the right of the period and include (among others) ".com," ".gov," ".net," and ".biz." "Second-level" domains are those domains immediately to the left of the top-level domain, such as "uscourts" in the second-level domain name "uscourts.gov."

8. A domain name does not exist until it is requested and registered. The individual or organization that seeks to register a specific domain name (such as "name.com") is a "registrant." Potential registrants, however, do not have direct access to the .com generic top-level domain ("gTLD") registry, which is operated by VeriSign. Instead, to register a domain name, a potential registrant must complete the necessary applications with one of the private companies that act as domain name "registrars." The domain name registrar, in turn, submits a request to the authorized registry for that top-level domain. Thus, a domain name is created when, following a request from the registrar, the domain name is included in the master database of registered domain names of the authorized registry for that top-level domain. For example, an individual seeking to register the second-level domain name "name.com" would submit a request to register that domain name in the .com gTLD registry's master database through a domain name registrar.

9. Accordingly, prospective registrants must register domain names through any one of approximately 235 operational domain name registrars located in the United States and throughout the world that register second-level domain names in the .com gTLD. The interaction between the .com gTLD registry and a registrar entailed in registering, transferring, and deleting a domain name in the .com gTLD is highly structured and automated, and takes place through a Registry-Registrar Protocol ("RRP"). Registry-registrar communications occur over a secure electronic connection.

10. The .com gTLD registry uses a Shared Registration System ("SRS"), which permits multiple domain name registrars to register second-level domain names in the .com gTLD. Before a domain name registrar may register second-level domain names in the .com gTLD, and

1 communicate with the .com gTLD registry's systems (the SRS), it must first become "accredited"
2 by ICANN. As part of ICANN's accreditation process, each registrar must enter into a standard
3 form Registrar Accreditation Agreement ("RAA") with ICANN. A central principle of access to
4 the SRS is that each domain name registrar accredited by ICANN shall have "equivalent access" to
5 the .com gTLD registry for the performance of registrar services, including registering, transferring,
6 and deleting second-level domain names.

7 11. For an ICANN "accredited" domain name registrar to gain operational access to the
8 .com gTLD registry's systems operated by VeriSign, such that it can register domain names in the
9 .com gTLD and transact other authorized business with the registry, the registrar must, among other
10 actions, execute a separate Registry-Registrar Agreement ("RRA") with VeriSign in a form
11 previously approved by ICANN, must provide certain surety instruments and evidence of financial
12 responsibility, must agree to use specified protocols and interfaces for accessing the registry, and
13 must commit to be bound by certain restrictions, limitations, and obligations governing its use of the
14 registry, as more fully set forth in the RRA.

15 12. Registrars for the .com gTLD pay substantial annual accreditation fees to ICANN.
16 Collectively, these registrar accreditation fees constitute a material and indispensable portion of
17 ICANN's overall annual operating revenues. As ICANN's budget and spending have grown in
18 recent years, ICANN increasingly has come to rely and depend upon the revenues generated from
19 registrar accreditation fees.

20 13. As the number of ICANN-accredited registrars for second-level domain names in the
21 .com gTLD grows, the burdens and stresses on the .com gTLD registry, and the associated costs and
22 expenses to VeriSign as the operator of the .com gTLD registry, correspondingly also grow. These
23 effects on the .com gTLD registry result from, among other factors, the need for VeriSign to
24 monitor, adapt, and expand the capacity of, and access to, the registry to keep pace with the number
25 of live or active registrars.

26 14. Nonetheless, pursuant to the currently operative .com Registry Agreement (the
27 "2001 .com Registry Agreement") between VeriSign and ICANN, VeriSign receives no portion of
28 the accreditation fees paid to ICANN by new and existing registrars, nor is VeriSign authorized by

1 ICANN to impose any charge or fee when registrars merely access the .com gTLD registry systems,
2 regardless of the frequency with which they access those systems or their purpose in doing so.
3 Instead, with respect to its provision of registry services, VeriSign is only permitted by ICANN to
4 charge specified amounts for the initial registration of a domain name, the renewal of a registered
5 domain name, and the transfer from one registrar to another of sponsorship of a domain name
6 registration.

7 **THE GROWTH OF BACK-ORDER SERVICES**

8 15. Domain names are registered within the .com gTLD for a limited period of time. If
9 that period expires without renewal of the domain name registration, or if the registrant chooses to
10 cancel the registration, the domain name will be deleted and the domain name becomes available to
11 the first person who creates and requests registration of the domain name. Additionally, domain
12 names may be cancelled, and become available to the public, following administrative and legal
13 disputes.

14 16. Many registrars, as well as non-registrar domain name resellers and back-order
15 service providers, offer services to the public that attempt to register deleted domain names as soon
16 as they become available for registration. Back-order service providers and resellers act essentially
17 as brokers between potential registrants seeking domain names and registrars who are the only
18 entities authorized to register a domain name with the .com gTLD registry.

19 17. Those entities seeking to register a recently deleted domain name through one of
20 their back-order programs have done so by transmitting literally continuous automated "add"
21 domain name commands to the registry for a particular domain name in an effort to be the first
22 registrar to request the domain name. Because this tactic is followed simultaneously by multiple
23 registrars seeking multiple domain names, and often the same domain names, either individually or
24 in concert with each other, the cumulative effect of these robotic "add storms" has been to
25 overwhelm the registry's automated systems, thereby threatening or delaying the registry's receipt
26 and performance of other registrar commands (such as to register new domain names) jeopardizing
27 the operation of the registry, and negatively impacting registrars who do not participate in such
28 activity.

1 18. VeriSign has attempted, at its own substantial expense, to alleviate the threats to the
2 .com gTLD registry posed by such activity on a short-term basis through the creation and operation
3 of a "batch pool." The batch pool is intended to be used by accredited registrars solely for the
4 registration of recently deleted domain names. Consistent with the principle of providing
5 "equivalent access" for all accredited registrars, VeriSign provides each accredited registrar the
6 same number of connections to the batch pool.

7 19. The demand for the registration of deleted domain names has grown enormously in
8 recent years, and back-order service providers and resellers have searched for methods to enhance
9 their chances of being the first to obtain the right to register a deleted domain name for their
10 customers. One such method that has evolved is for back-order service providers and resellers to
11 align with accredited registrars and to use the batch pool connections that such registrars have been
12 given to obtain desirable deleted domain names. Most recently, a few back-order service providers
13 have facilitated the formation and accreditation of new registrars exclusively for the purpose of
14 obtaining as many batch pool connections as possible for the use of the back-order service
15 providers. As a result of this activity, these back-order service providers have amassed and are
16 amassing (through limited purpose "registrars," commonly known as "thread registrars") many
17 times the number of connections to the batch pool that any one bona fide accredited registrar has on
18 its own. In fact, approximately 40% of ICANN accredited registrars for second-level domain
19 names within the .com gTLD currently may be affiliated with a single "parent" entity.

20 20. As a direct by-product of this activity, the number of new registrars seeking
21 accreditation to register second-level domain names in the .com gTLD has grown from one or two a
22 week to almost 25 a week over the last several months. Indeed, VeriSign is presently aware of
23 approximately 75 potential additional registrars whose accreditation is pending with ICANN, and
24 there are dozens of registrars recently accredited by ICANN in the queue to gain operational access
25 to the .com gTLD registry. VeriSign anticipates that by April 2005, it will have 438 registrars in its
26 system, an increase from the current approximately 250 accredited registrars. However, most of
27 these new registrars do not, and do not intend to, provide registrar services to members of the
28 public. They do not intend to engage in the business of a registrar. They do not intend to register

1 domain names in the .com gTLD registry. As a result, their activity will lead to few, if any,
2 completed transactions in the registry.

3 21. In effect, these “thread registrars” are formed and designed to perform a wholly new
4 service not contemplated by ICANN or VeriSign at the time the 2001 .com Registry Agreement was
5 executed: a “checking” service to ascertain whether the right to register a recently deleted domain
6 name can be obtained for the direct benefit of a single back-order service provider or reseller (or a
7 single “family” of back-order service providers and resellers). To the extent such “thread
8 registrars” do obtain the right to register a deleted domain name, they either transfer the domain
9 name to an affiliated registrar for actual registration, or they “hold” the domain name for a matter of
10 days to test its value at auction or otherwise and then delete it, without incurring registration fees, or
11 transfer it to an affiliated registrar for registration. Consequently, the accreditation by ICANN of
12 “thread” registrars solely or principally to perform “checking” services imposes a substantial burden
13 on the registry, and additional costs and expense on VeriSign, even though the “checking” services
14 performed by these “thread” registrars will generate no offsetting fees for VeriSign.

15 22. For example, although the accreditation by ICANN of “thread” registrars and the
16 “checking” services those “thread” registrars perform generate no fees to VeriSign, VeriSign has
17 incurred and continues to incur significant costs to build out its systems, increase connectivity, and
18 otherwise adapt the .com gTLD registry to handle the load associated with the increasing number of
19 “thread” registrars and their access to VeriSign’s systems. That load, and the attendant costs, are
20 necessitated, in part, by the sheer increase in the number of ICANN accredited “thread” registrars,
21 regardless of the level of activity in which those registrars engage. However, to the extent those
22 registrars do engage in a high volume of “checks” or other activity in the batch pool, the load on the
23 .com gTLD registry, and the related costs for VeriSign, are even higher.

24 23. ICANN’s accreditation of “thread” registrars also impairs VeriSign’s ability to
25 service in an efficient manner newly accredited registrars that do intend to offer registrar services to
26 the public, and it impairs VeriSign’s ability to take advantage of opportunities to increase
27 registrations of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD that do generate revenues for
28 VeriSign. Because of the volume of ICANN-accredited “thread” registrars in the queue seeking to

1 gain operational access to the .com gTLD registry, bona fide registrars in the queue, including those
2 seeking to register second-level domain names in the .com gTLD in currently underserved
3 geographic regions, have been and are being delayed in obtaining operational access to the .com
4 gTLD registry, resulting in lost .com domain name registrations.

5 24. The method and manner of intended operation of these new limited purpose,
6 “thread” registrars is known and understood by ICANN at the time that ICANN enters into the
7 RAA with them and accredits them. Indeed, ICANN has admitted that the accreditation of “thread”
8 registrars has created a problem for the .com gTLD registry and has stated that it would form a
9 committee to review the issue. To date, however, ICANN has not formed such a committee, nor
10 has it taken any other actions to alleviate the problem, to assure that it accredits only registrars that
11 intend to offer domain name registration services to the public, or to compensate VeriSign for the
12 significant added expense it incurs as a result of the accreditation of “thread” registrars.

13 **THE 2001 .COM REGISTRY AGREEMENT**

14 25. On or about May 25, 2001, VeriSign entered into the 2001 .com Registry Agreement
15 with ICANN, which superseded the prior .com Registry Agreement entered into in 1999. Subject to
16 certain extension rights provided for therein, the 2001 .com Registry Agreement expires on
17 November 10, 2007.

18 26. Under the 2001 .com Registry Agreement, VeriSign is required to “make access to
19 the Shared Registration System available to all ICANN-accredited registrars subject to the terms of
20 the Registry-Registrar Agreement (attached as Appendix F [to the 2001 .com Registry
21 Agreement]).” VeriSign is also required to provide “Registry Services” to ICANN-accredited
22 registrars in a manner meeting the performance and functional specifications referenced and
23 described in the agreement. “Registry Services” generally are defined in the agreement as follows:

24 “Registry Services” means services provided as an integral part of the
25 Registry TLD, including all subdomains. These services include:
26 receipt of data concerning registrations of domain names and
27 nameservers from registrars; provision to registrars of status
28 information relating to the Registry TLD zone servers, dissemination

of TLD zone files, operation of the Registry zone servers, dissemination of contact and other information concerning domain name and nameserver registrations in the Registry TLD, and such other services required by ICANN through the establishment of Consensus Policies as set forth in Definition 1 of this Agreement.

27. The 2001 .com Registry Agreement defines “Consensus Policies” as consisting of those specifications and policies established on the basis of a consensus among Internet stakeholders represented in the ICANN process, as demonstrated by compliance with specific, detailed procedures prescribed in the agreement. VeriSign generally is obligated to comply with Consensus Policies if, among other requirements, they are properly adopted by ICANN and consistent with ICANN’s other contractual obligations, and: (A) they “do not unreasonably restrain competition”; and (B) relate to: “(1) issues for which uniform or coordinated resolution is reasonably necessary to facilitate interoperability, technical reliability and/or stable operation of the Internet or DNS, (2) registry policies reasonably necessary to implement Consensus Policies relating to registrars, or (3) resolution of disputes regarding the registration of domain names (as opposed to the use of such domain names).”

28. Recognizing the potential for harm to VeriSign from ICANN’s subsequent adoption of specifications or policies, the parties included in the 2001 .com Registry Agreement a provision entitled “Protection from Burdens of Compliance With ICANN Policies.” That provision expressly provides: “ICANN shall indemnify, defend, and hold harmless Registry Operator [VeriSign] . . . from and against any and all claims, damages, liabilities, costs, and expenses, including reasonable legal fees and expenses, arising solely from Registry Operator’s compliance as required by this Agreement with an ICANN specification or policy (including a Consensus Policy) established after the Effective Date”

29. The 2001 .com Registry Agreement further sets forth the following “General Obligations of ICANN.” “With respect to all matters that impact the rights, obligations, or role of Registry Operator,” the agreement explicitly provides that ICANN shall, among other obligations: (i) “exercise its responsibilities in an open and transparent manner,” [and] (ii) “not unreasonably

1 restrain competition and, to the extent feasible, promote and encourage robust competition”
2 These and other obligations of ICANN to VeriSign under the 2001 .com Registry Agreement are
3 not limited to VeriSign’s provision of “Registry Services,” but are owed by ICANN to VeriSign in
4 connection with any conduct of ICANN that impacts VeriSign’s “rights, obligations, or role of
5 Registry Operator.”

6 30. In addition, ICANN is subject to an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing
7 not to take actions unfairly or in bad faith to deprive VeriSign of the intended benefits of the 2001
8 .com Registry Agreement.

9 THE REGISTRY-REGISTRAR AGREEMENT

10 31. The RRA is entered into between VeriSign as operator of the .com gTLD registry
11 and each accredited registrar for the registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD.
12 The terms of the RRA are approved by ICANN, and the RRA is attached as an exhibit to the 2001
13 .com Registry Agreement between VeriSign and ICANN.

14 32. The RRA expressly provides that a registrar “shall not: (i) sublicense the RRP, APIs
15 [‘C’ and ‘Java’ application program interfaces] or Software or otherwise permit any use of the RRP,
16 APIs or Software by or for the benefit of any party other than Registrar, [or] (ii) publish, distribute
17 or permit disclosure of the RRP, APIs or Software other than to employees, contractors, and agents
18 of Registrar for use in Registrar’s domain name registration business”

19 33. The RRA further explicitly compels a registrar to “employ the necessary measures to
20 prevent its access to the System [SRS] granted hereunder from being used to (i) allow, enable, or
21 otherwise support the transmission by e-mail, telephone, or facsimile of mass unsolicited,
22 commercial advertising or solicitations to entities other than Registrar’s customers; or (ii) enable
23 high volume, automated, electronic processes that send queries or data to the systems of Registry
24 Operator or any ICANN-Accredited Registrar, except as reasonably necessary to register domain
25 names or modify existing registrations.”
26
27
28

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Breach of Contract)

34. VeriSign repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 above as though fully set forth herein.

35. The 2001 .com Registry Agreement constitutes a valid and binding contract between VeriSign and ICANN. The material terms of that agreement, insofar as they are pertinent to this action, include those set forth in paragraphs 25 through 30 above.

36. All of the terms of the 2001 .com Registry Agreement are just and reasonable to ICANN, and the consideration for ICANN's obligations under the agreement, to the extent relevant to this action, is fair and adequate to ICANN.

37. VeriSign has duly and properly performed, and is continuing duly and properly to perform, all of its obligations under the 2001 .com Registry Agreement, except those obligations it has been prevented or excused from performing as a result of ICANN's breaches and other conduct alleged in this Complaint.

38. ICANN has materially breached its express and implied obligations to VeriSign under the 2001 .com Registry Agreement, including, without limitation, its obligations under the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing thereunder, by engaging in the following acts and conduct, among others:

- ICANN has failed to act in an open and transparent manner with respect to the accreditation of new registrars and the identification and establishment of minimum standards for such accreditation. In fact, in its zeal to collect the additional annual fees that accreditation creates, and despite the burdens for the .com gTLD registry and for VeriSign that indiscriminate accreditation entails, ICANN has not established and applies no minimum standards for registrar accreditation.

- ICANN has knowingly accredited registrars that are not bona fide registrars, that do not intend to provide registrar services to members of the public, that do not intend to engage in the business of a registrar, that do not intend to register domain names in the .com gTLD registry, and that do not intend, and never intended, to abide by the conditions

1 and restrictions imposed on them by the RRA, including, without limitation, those referred
2 to in paragraphs 32 and 33 of this Cross-Complaint. ICANN has acted in that fashion
3 without regard for the consequences to the .com gTLD registry or to VeriSign, in order to
4 obtain the substantial annual accreditation fees that such new registrar accreditations
5 generate for ICANN.

6 • ICANN has ignored its obligation to promote and encourage robust
7 competition and, instead, has unreasonably restrained competition among registrars in the
8 provision of back-order services, in that ICANN has, among other conduct, violated the
9 spirit of "equivalent access" by registrars to the registry, by allowing and facilitating the
10 aggregation of connections to the registry in the hands of a few registrars, back-order service
11 providers, and resellers, to the detriment of other registrars, resellers, and VeriSign.

12 • ICANN has failed to indemnify VeriSign against the costs, expenses, and
13 consequences of ICANN's newly effectuated policy of allowing the accreditation of
14 "registrars" solely or primarily to perform back-order "checking" services rather than
15 registrar services, even though such new services severely burden the operation of the .com
16 gTLD registry and cause significant expense and loss for VeriSign, and even though such
17 new services yield no offsetting fees or revenues for VeriSign.

18 39. ICANN threatens to persist, throughout the remaining term of the 2001 .com
19 Registry Agreement, in the foregoing or similar conduct constituting breaches of the agreement,
20 thereby increasing and exacerbating the threat to the .com gTLD registry and VeriSign's injuries
21 and losses.

22 40. VeriSign has suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial injuries and losses as a
23 proximate result of the breaches and other conduct of ICANN alleged herein, including, without
24 limitation, losses of amounts expended or to be expended to protect, stabilize, test, repair, and
25 expand the registry; losses of revenues from third parties and from bona fide registrars whose
26 operational access to the .com gTLD registry to register new second-level domain names has been
27 delayed and impaired; and losses of profits, business opportunities, reputation, and good will.
28

1 Accordingly, VeriSign is entitled to an award of monetary damages from ICANN, according to
2 proof at trial.

3 41. However, VeriSign may have no adequate legal remedy against ICANN to obtain
4 full compensation or other monetary redress for all of its injuries and losses in that, among other
5 things: (i) ICANN is interfering with the business of VeriSign and injuring its reputation;
6 (ii) ICANN has insufficient assets to compensate VeriSign for its losses; (iii) some of VeriSign's
7 injuries and losses may be difficult to calculate precisely in dollar terms; and (iv) the 2001 .com
8 Registry Agreement purports to limit ICANN's liability for damages in the event of a breach of the
9 agreement to only a fraction of VeriSign's actual injuries and losses, which limitation may be
10 applicable to certain of the injuries alleged herein.

11 42. The 2001 .com Registry Agreement provides and contemplates that VeriSign can
12 obtain a decree of specific performance and other equitable relief for a breach of the agreement.

13 43. Accordingly, VeriSign is entitled to a judicial decree of specific performance
14 commanding and compelling ICANN to perform fully the terms and conditions of the 2001 .com
15 Registry Agreement, including, without limitation: (i) to exercise its responsibilities with respect to
16 the accreditation of registrars for the registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD
17 registry in an open and transparent manner; (ii) to promote and encourage robust competition in the
18 provision of back-order services; (iii) to identify and establish minimum standards for the
19 accreditation of registrars; (iv) to accredit only bona fide registrars who demonstrate an intent to
20 comply with the provisions of the RRA and to provide domain name registrations to members of the
21 public; and (v) to abide by the principle of "equivalent access" to the .com gTLD registry for
22 accredited registrars.

23 44. VeriSign also is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief prohibiting
24 ICANN, its officers, directors, employees, agents, and others acting in concert or in association with
25 it, from directly or indirectly taking any action, or engaging in any conduct, to accredit registrars for
26 the registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD that ICANN has reasonable basis
27 to believe are not bona fide registrars, do not intend to provide registrar services to members of the
28 public, do not intend to register domain names in the .com gTLD registry, or do not intend to

1 comply with the provisions of the RRA, or that have as their sole or primary purpose the use of their
2 batch pool connections for the benefit of batch pool service providers or resellers.

3 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **(For Declaratory Judgment)**

5 45. VeriSign repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 44
6 above as though fully set forth herein.

7 46. An actual and justiciable controversy has arisen, and now exists, between VeriSign
8 and ICANN with respect to the interpretation of essential terms of the 2001 .com Registry
9 Agreement and the application of those terms to VeriSign's handling and treatment of registrars
10 newly accredited by ICANN.

11 47. More particularly, VeriSign contends that it has a right under the 2001 .com Registry
12 Agreement to refuse to enter into an RRA with, and to refuse to give access to the .com gTLD
13 registry to, ICANN-accredited registrars that VeriSign has a reasonable basis to believe are not bona
14 fide registrars, do not intend to provide registrar services to members of the public, do not intend to
15 register domain names in the .com gTLD registry, or do not intend to comply with the provisions of
16 the RRA, or that have as their sole or primary purpose the use of their batch pool connections for
17 the benefit of batch pool service providers or resellers.

18 48. ICANN denies these contentions by VeriSign and contends the opposite.

19 49. VeriSign and ICANN are bound to perform under the 2001 .com Registry
20 Agreement for at least another 3 years.

21 50. If VeriSign relies on its interpretation of the 2001 .com Registry Agreement and
22 refuses to enter into an RRA with, and refuses to give access to the .com gTLD registry to, such
23 ICANN-accredited registrars, as VeriSign believes it has a legal and contractual right to do,
24 VeriSign risks ICANN's declaring it to be in breach of the 2001 .com Registry Agreement and/or
25 attempting to terminate the agreement prematurely, with resulting losses of revenue from third
26 parties, profits, extension rights, reputation, and good will.

51. Alternatively, were VeriSign to enter into an RRA with, and to give access to the .com gTLD registry to, such ICANN-accredited registrars, VeriSign will risk jeopardizing the operation of the registry and suffering consequent irreparable losses.

52. In either event, VeriSign has and will have no adequate legal remedy against ICANN for any of these losses. VeriSign is therefore in need of immediate declaratory relief from the Court consistent with its contentions set forth above.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Violation of California's Unfair Competition Law --

Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*)

53. VeriSign repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 52 above as though fully set forth herein.

54. ICANN has engaged in unfair business practices. Specifically, the following acts and conduct, among others, constitute unfair competitive practices that have caused VeriSign to suffer injury in fact:

- ICANN has failed to establish or follow minimum standards for accrediting new registrars that would prevent prospective registrars who had no intention of engaging in a bona fide registrar business from becoming accredited.

- ICANN has knowingly accredited registrars that are not bona fide registrars, that do not intend to provide registrar services to members of the public, that do not intend to engage in the business of a registrar, that do not intend to register domain names in the .com gTLD registry, and that do not intend, and never intended, to abide by the conditions and restrictions imposed on them by the RRA, including, without limitation, those referred to in paragraphs 32 and 33 of this Cross-Complaint. ICANN has acted in this fashion without regard for the consequences to the .com gTLD registry or to VeriSign, in order to obtain the substantial annual accreditation fees that such new registrar accreditations generate for ICANN.

- ICANN has unreasonably restrained competition among registrars in the provision of back-order services, in that ICANN has, among other conduct, violated the

spirit of "equivalent access" by registrars to the registry by allowing and facilitating the aggregation of connections to the registry in the hands of a few registrars, back-order service providers, and resellers, to the detriment of other registrars, resellers, and VeriSign.

55. ICANN threatens to persist, at least throughout the remaining term of the 2001 .com Registry Agreement, in the foregoing or similar conduct, thereby increasing and exacerbating the unfair and unlawful manner in which ICANN is conducting its business and VeriSign's injuries and losses.

56. VeriSign has suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial injuries and monetary losses as a proximate result of the unfair competitive practices of ICANN alleged herein, including, without limitation, losses of amounts expended or to be expended to protect, stabilize, test, repair, and expand the registry; losses of revenues from third parties and from bona fide registrars whose operational access to the .com gTLD registry to register new second-level domain names has been delayed; and losses of profits, business opportunities, reputation, and good will. Accordingly, VeriSign is entitled to an injunction against ICANN that prohibits ICANN from continuing its unfair and unlawful competitive practices. Specifically, VeriSign is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief prohibiting ICANN, its officers, directors, employees, agents, and others acting in concert or in association with it, from directly or indirectly taking any action, or engaging in any conduct, to accredit registrars for the registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD that ICANN has a reasonable basis to believe are not bona fide registrars, do not intend to provide registrar services to members of the public, do not intend to register domain names in the .com gTLD registry, or do not intend to comply with the provisions of the RRA, or that have as their sole or primary purpose the use of their batch pool connections for the benefit of batch pool service providers or resellers.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for entry of judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. On the First Cause of Action of this Cross-Complaint:

1. For entry of a judicial decree of specific performance commanding and compelling ICANN to perform fully the terms and conditions of the 2001 .com Registry Agreement, including, without limitation: (i) to exercise its responsibilities with respect to the

1 accreditation of registrars for the registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD
2 registry in an open and transparent manner; (ii) to promote and encourage robust competition in the
3 provision of back-order services; (iii) to identify and establish minimum standards for the
4 accreditation of registrars; (iv) to accredit only bona fide registrars who demonstrate an intent to
5 comply with the provisions of the RRA and to provide domain name registrations to members of the
6 public; and (v) to abide by the principle of "equivalent access" to the .com gTLD registry for
7 accredited registrars.

8 2. For entry of a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting ICANN, its
9 officers, directors, employees, agents, and others acting in concert or in association with it, from
10 directly or indirectly taking any action, or engaging in any conduct to accredit registrars for the
11 registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD that ICANN has a reasonable basis to
12 believe are not bona fide registrars, do not intend to provide registrar services to members of the
13 public, do not intend to register domain names in the .com gTLD registry, or do not intend to
14 comply with the provisions of the RRA, or that have as their sole or primary purpose the use of their
15 batch pool connections for the benefit of batch pool service providers or resellers.

16 3. For an award of monetary damages, according to proof.

17 4. For its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to contract.

18 B. On the Second Cause of Action of this Cross-Complaint:

19 1. For entry of a final and binding judicial declaration determining and
20 adjudicating each and all of VeriSign's contentions as set forth in paragraph 47 above.

21 2. For its reasonable attorneys' fees.

22 C. On the Third Cause of Action of this Cross-Complaint:

23 1. For entry of a preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting ICANN, its
24 officers, directors, employees, agents, and others acting in concert or in association with it, from
25 directly or indirectly continuing to act in a manner that violates the prohibitions against unfair
26 competition, including prohibiting ICANN from taking any action, or engaging in any conduct to
27 accredit registrars for the registration of second-level domain names in the .com gTLD which
28 ICANN has a reasonable basis to believe are not bona fide registrars, do not intend to provide

1 registrar services to members of the public, do not intend to register domain names in the .com
2 gTLD registry, or do not intend to comply with the provisions of the RRA, or which have as their
3 sole or primary purpose the use of their batch pool connections for the benefit of batch pool service
4 providers or resellers.

5 2. For its reasonable attorneys' fees.

6 D. On All Causes of Action of this Cross-Complaint:

7 1. For its costs of suit incurred herein.

8 2. For such further relief as is just and proper.

9
10 DATED: December 28, 2004.

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
RONALD L. JOHNSTON
LAURENCE J. HUTT
SUZANNE V. WILSON
JAMES S. BLACKBURN

11
12
13
14
15 By:



RONALD L. JOHNSTON
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Cross-Defendant &
Cross-Complainant VeriSign, Inc.

16
17 #343566_6.DOC

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

) ss

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I am employed by First Legal Support Services in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 1511 W. Beverly Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90026. On **December 28, 2004**, I served a document described as **CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR: (1) BREACH OF CONTRACT; (2) DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF; AND (3) UNFAIR COMPETITION IN VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE §§ 17200 ET SEQ.** on the following interested party in this action by personally delivering a copy to:

☒ by placing true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated below:

Jeffrey A. LeVee
John S. Sasaki
Christina Coates
Sean W. Jaquez
JONES DAY
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4600
Los Angeles, California 90013-1025

☒ **BY PERSONAL SERVICE** I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the office of the addressee. Executed on **December 28, 2004** at Angeles, California.


☐ **BY MAIL** I placed such envelope with postage thereon prepaid in the United States Mail at 777 South Figureoa Street, 44th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-5844. Executed on at Los Angeles, CA.

☐ **BY ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION** The above-referenced document (together with all exhibits and attachments thereto) was transmitted via electronic transmission to the addressee(s) as indicated on the attached mailing list on the date thereof. The transmission was reported as completed and without error. Executed on Los Angeles, California.

☒ **STATE** I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

☐ **FEDERAL** I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Alex Rubio
Type or Print Name


Signature