Agenda

1. Opening Remarks

2. Implementation Items
   - RZERC Charter – Post Public Comment Analysis

3. AOB
   - PTI Bank Account
   - Open Public Comment Items

4. Closing Remarks

RZERC Charter

Commenters (7)

- RSSAC

- RySG

- CNNIC

- DENIC

- NCSG

- CW

- Alberto Soto

⊙ DENIC suggested replacing content of Purpose section with background

⊙ NCSG suggested changing all references of "root zone" to "DNS root zone"

⊙ RSSAC recommended edits to first paragraph:

"The Committee is expected to review and provide input regarding proposed architectural ~~and operational~~ changes to the root zone and its management, and as determined necessary by the Committee, potentially make recommendations ~~propose architectural and operational changes to the root zone~~ for consideration by the ICANN Board."

- CW commented that the RZERC's scope should include consideration of current and future "geographical topography of the root servers."

- DENIC commented:
  - It's not clear whether RZERC may originate proposals and recommendations.
  - The scope of the RZERC should be clarified as the wording suggests significant overlap with RSSAC's responsibilities.
  - RZERC's role in the root zone maintainer RFP process should be clarified.

- RSSAC suggested edits to Sections I and II to limit the scope of the RZERC.

  - Clarify where issues originate

  - "The Committee will consider issues raised to the Committee to identify any potential security, stability or resiliency risks to the DNS as associated with the architecture and ~~operation~~ management of the root zone."

- "The Committee will coordinate with the committee's respective organizations and communities, and if appropriate, external experts, to ensure that relevant bodies ~~were~~ are involved in discussion and recommendation development to ~~decision-making and~~ ensure that relevant expertise is ~~was~~ available."

- "For ~~operational and~~ architectural changes to the root zone and its management that impose potential risk to the security, stability, or resiliency of the DNS ~~root system~~ (as identified by one or more committee members and agreed by a simple majority of members), the Committee will coordinate a public consultation process via the ICANN public comment forum regarding the proposed changes, including the identified risks."

Section III – Composition (CNNIC, CW, DENIC, NCSG, RySG)

- CNNIC suggested that the GNSO and ccNSO should have more representation on the RZERC and expect clarification to the charter regarding diversity

- NCSG suggested that RZERC includes representation from the NCPH of the GNSO

- CW commented that Verisign as the root zone maintainer, cannot be a member of the RZERC due to conflict of interest

- DENIC commented that appointments should be left to the appointing organizations rather than asking for participation by the organization's Chair

- RySG commented that the chair selection process is critical for efficiency and effectiveness of the committee

- CNNIC suggested adding more details around quorum and voting threshold

- DENIC commented the root zone maintainer should be excluded from consensus decision on matters relating to RFP for the root zone maintainer

- RySG commented adding a clause in the charter to prevent disproportionate share of influence due to ICANN having two seats on the Committee (ICANN Board member and PTI representative)

- DENIC suggested that given decisions can be made outside of meetings, deliberations and results should be made public in the same way meeting recordings are made public

- Mr. Soto suggested that the charter require committee meetings to always be recorded

⊙ CNNIC suggested a more frequent charter review frequency

Proposed RZERC Qualification Criteria

- Must possess general technical knowledge of the DNS in order to consider issues that are brought to the Committee, and to follow/participate/contribute to discussions

- Ability to coordinate and consult with their respective organizations and communities to communicate issue under consideration and bring relevant expertise to the Committee

- Able to work and communicate in written and spoken English

- Effective communication skills

AOB & Closing Remarks

Open Public Comment Items

Items	Open Date	Close Date
PTI Article of Incorporation	01 July	31 July
PTI Governance Documents • Code of Conduct • Conflict of Interest Policy • Expected Standard of Behavior	08 July	07 August
PTI Bylaws	12 July	11 August

Appendix

Discussion Items & Status

Items	IOTF Agreement	CWG Agreement	Decision	Next Step
Define selection criteria for Nom-Com PTI independent Directors				Fold into PTI Bylaws discussion?
PTI staffing	✔			In discussion
PTI governance documents (Bylaws, AoI, CoI)				In discussion
ICANN-PTI Contract(s)*				In discussion
IANA IPR - TBD				
IANA Customer Service Complaint Resolution Process	✔		Clarification provided	Implementation
IANA Problem Resolution Process	✔		Clarification provided	Implementation
PTI services	✔	✔	PTI to perform all 3 IANA functions	Implementation
Approach for selection of interim PTI independent Directors	✔	✔	CWG Chairs to serve as interim Directors	Implementation
CSC formation	✔	✔	Issue request for appointment	Implementation
RZERC charter	✔	✔	Post Charter for public comment	Public Comment

*Includes discussion on whether to combine the Names contract and intercompany contract

Decision Log

- **PTI Independent Board of Directors**
  - Initial Selections
    - o Jonathan and Lise to serve as interim PTI independent directors assuming there are no issues around conflict and independence (IOTF Call #1, 21 March 2016 / CWG Meeting #78, 31 March 2016)
  - Ongoing Selections
    - o NomCom will be the appointing body for PTI independent directors (IOTF Call #1, 21 March 2016 / CWG Meeting #78, 31 March 2016)

- **PTI Structure**
  - PTI will perform all 3 IANA functions (IOTF Call #2, 25 March 2016 / CWG Meeting #78, 31 March 2016)

- **Document Review Process & Timeline**
  - Agreement reached (IOTF Call #4, 04 April 2016)

- **IANA Escalation Mechanisms**
  - Clarification provided by Chuck Gomes and IOTF has no objections to clarification. (IOTF Call #8, 10 May 2016)

- **CSC**
  - CSC formation process and timeline shared and received no objections via IOTF call and CWG mail list. (IOTF Call #9, 20 May 2016 / CWG mail list 26 May 2016)

- **RZERC Charter**
  - RZERC Charter was shared and finalized over the IOTF and CWG mail lists. (IOTF mail list 03: June 2016 / CWG mail list: 17 May 2016)

Document Review Process & Timeline

1 ICANN shares high-level descriptions with IOTF

2 IOTF reviews & agrees on high-level descriptions

3 ICANN drafts full document

4 2 weeks
OCs review & provide input on full document*

5 30 days
ICANN finalizes & posts draft document for public comment

6 ICANN analyzes comments, finalizes document & obtains Board approval